## M L Dinkins Higher Learning Academy

**Grades** 4–8 Elementary School

**Enrollment** 88 Students

**Principal** 

**Superintendent** Dr. Lloyd Hunter 803–484–5327

**Board Chair** Deloris Wright 803-437-2089

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

# 2006 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

#### ABSOLUTE RATING

#### UNSATISFACTORY

Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

1 6 8 40 31

#### IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

#### **ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS**

NO

This school met 7 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2004	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2005	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2006	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No					

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

#### PERCENT OF STUDENT PACT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2005-06 whose 2004-05 test scores were located.

88.1%

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) English/Language Arts **Mathematics** Science **Social Studies Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours** 43.1 **Definition of Critical Terms** Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level **Below Basic** Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRO									
	Enrollment 1st	$\sim$	% Below Basis	ş /	Τ,	. / .	% Proficient and Advanced	(a)	*/ c
	<del>   </del>	% Tested	,   🥷	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced		Performance Objective	Participation Object:
	1 4 5	· / · &	/ §	/ %	<sup>d</sup> g	\$\disp			
		/ %	/ %	/ ~	/ %	%	PA P	\ \alpha \ \bar{\alpha} \	\ \a_{\begin{subarray}{c} \q_{\begin{subarray}{c} \q_{\begin}} \q_{\begin{subarray}{c} \q_{\begin{subarray}{c} \q_{\begin{subarray}{c} \q_{suba
			/	/	1	/	] ,, A		
— ⊨ngli All Students	sh/Langua 72	ge Arts - 98.6	State Per 56.9	36.2	Objective 6.9	0.0	15.5	No	Yes
Gender	12	30.0	30.9	30.2	0.9	0.0	13.3	NO	163
Male	42	97.6	74.2	22.6	3.2	0.0	12.9	N/A	N/A
Female	30	100.0	37.0	51.9	11.1	0.0	18.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		100.0	01.0	0 1.0		0.0	10.0	1471	11,71
White	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	62	98.4	58.0	34.0	8.0	0.0	14.0	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	.,,,	,, .	,, .	,,	.,,,	,,	.,,,	.,0	
Not Disabled	61	100.0	52.0	40.0	8.0	0.0	18.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	11	90.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status					., -		., -	,, -	
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	72	98.6	56.9	36.2	6.9	0.0	15.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	72	98.6	56.9	36.2	6.9	0.0	15.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	72	98.6	56.9	36.2	6.9	0.0	15.5	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati						45.5		
All Students	72	98.6	60.3	29.3	8.6	1.7	15.5	No	Yes
Gender	40	07.0	C4.5	00.0	0.5	0.0	0.7	NI/A	NI/A
Male	42	97.6	64.5	29.0	6.5	0.0	9.7	N/A	N/A
Female	30	100.0	55.6	29.6	11.1	3.7	22.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group White	1 0	100.0	l uo	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	I/S	I/S
African American	62	98.4	I/S 58.0	1/S 30.0	I/S 10.0	I/S 2.0	I/S 18.0	No	Yes
Aincan American Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	90.4 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
			N/A N/A					1/S	1/S
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	1/S	1/S
Disability Status	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	N/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/5	1/3
Not Disabled	61	100.0	54.0	34.0	10.0	2.0	18.0	N/A	N/A
Not Disabled Disabled	11	90.9	1/S	34.0 I/S	10.0 I/S	2.0 I/S	16.0 I/S	IN/A	IN/A
	11	90.9	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3
Migrant Status	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant Non-Migrant	72	98.6	60.3	29.3	8.6	1.7	15.5	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
English Proficiency	12	30.0	00.3	29.3	0.0	1.7	15.5	IN/A	IN/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	72	98.6	60.3	29.3	8.6	1.7	15.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	12	30.0	00.3	29.3	0.0	1.7	15.5	IN/A	IN/A
Subsidized meals	72	98.6	60.3	29.3	8.6	1.7	15.5	No	Yes
	N/A	96.6 N/A	N/A	29.3 N/A	0.0 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Full-pay meals	I IN/A	I IN/A	I IN/A	I IN/A	I IN/A	I IN/A	I IN/A	IN/A	IN/A

Migrant

Non-Migrant

Full-pay meals

English Proficiency Limited English Proficient

Non-Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP								
TAOTTEN ONNANCE DI GRO	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	
			ience					
All Students	72	97.2	73.7	26.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Gender								
Male	42	95.2	76.7	23.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Female	30	100.0	70.4	29.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
African American	62	96.8	75.5	24.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status								
Not Disabled	61	98.4	69.4	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Disabled	11	90.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Migrant Status								

N/A

72

N/A

72

72

N/A

N/A

97.2

N/A

97.2

97.2

N/A

N/A

73.7

N/A

73.7

73.7

N/A

N/A

26.3

N/A

26.3

26.3

N/A

N/A

0.0

N/A

0.0

0.0

N/A

N/A

0.0

N/A

0.0

0.0

N/A

N/A

0.0

N/A

0.0

0.0

N/A

		Socia	l Studies						
All Students	72	97.2	74.1	24.1	1.7	0.0	1.7		
Gender									
Male	42	95.2	80.6	16.1	3.2	0.0	3.2		
Female	30	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
African American	62	96.8	76.0	22.0	2.0	0.0	2.0		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	61	98.4	70.0	28.0	2.0	0.0	2.0		
Disabled	11	90.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Migrant	72	97.2	74.1	24.1	1.7	0.0	1.7		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	72	97.2	74.1	24.1	1.7	0.0	1.7		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	72	97.2	74.1	24.1	1.7	0.0	1.7		
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

PACT	PERFORMA	ANCE BY GRA	DE LEVEL					
	1	Encollment 1st Day of Testing	. /	% Below Basic	7		ام ا	% Proficient and Advanced
	$G^{ade}$	ment Testii	% Tested	W Ba	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient ar Advanced
/	Ó	loul <sub>E</sub>	/ %	Belc	/ % #	/ %	/ Ad	Profit
		7 0		%			<i>[</i>	%
	3	N/A	N/A	English/Lar N/A	iguage Arts N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
LO	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5 6	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A
_	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	4 5	8 9	100.0 100.0	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S
2	6	20	100.0	76.5	23.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	7	17	94.1	53.8	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
-	8	18	100.0	46.7	33.3 matics	20.0	0.0	20.0
	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ß	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-8-	5 6	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
~	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
_	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A
90	5	8 9	100.0 100.0	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S I/S
2	6	20	100.0	58.8	35.3	5.9	0.0	5.9
	7 8	17 18	94.1 100.0	61.5 53.3	30.8 40.0	7.7 6.7	0.0 0.0	7.7 6.7
-	0	10	100.0	Scie		0.7	0.0	0.7
	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
LC	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
18	5 6	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
2	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	3 4	N/A 8	N/A	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A
9	5	9	100.0 100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S I/S
12	6	20	100.0	94.1	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
-	7 8	17 18	88.2 100.0	66.7 46.7	33.3 53.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0
-	0	10	100.0		Studies	0.0	0.0	0.0
	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ß	4 5	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
8	6	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
67	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3 4	N/A 8	N/A 100.0	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S
90	5	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
202	6	20	100.0	94.1	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	7 8	17 18	88.2 100.0	76.9 46.7	23.1 53.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0
	-					,	,	1 1

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 88)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/R	N/A	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	1.4%	N/A	3.5%	2.8%
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	96.1% 1.4%	N/A N/A	96.3% 0.7%	96.4% 0.0%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	1.4%	N/A	0.8%	0.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	1.4%	N/A	3.2%	10.4%
On academic plans	0.0%	N/AV	51.0%	33.6%
On academic probation	0.0%	N/AV	5.7%	1.0%
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	12.7% 11.4%	N/A N/A	7.1% 1.5%	7.5% 0.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	N/R	N/R	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 5)			ı	
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	40.0% N/AV	N/A	51.3% N/AV	53.8% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	48.1%	N/A	4.7%	2.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	20.0%	N/A	3.4%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	81.9%	87.3%
Teacher attendance rate	N/R	N/R	94.6%	94.9%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$17,600 N/R	I/S N/R	\$41,269 15.4 days	\$42,485 13.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	3.5 16.2 to 1	4.0 18.6 to 1
Prime instructional time	N/R	N/R	88.3%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	N/A	N/A	\$8,130	\$6,557
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	N/A	N/A	58.1%	64.0%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	N/A		68.0%	69.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	N/R	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	N/R	N/R	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation Character development	No N/R	N/R N/R	Yes Good	Yes Excellent
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	IN/IN	14/13	G000	Lycellelif

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

		Our District		State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teacher	ers	N/A		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teach	ers	17.1%		10.2%
	Sta	te Objective	Me	et State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school		0.0%		No
Student attendance in this school		94.0%*		Yes

\*or greater than last year

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned			
Percent satisfied with learning environment			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations			

<sup>\*</sup>Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.